IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

ORLANDO EDNEY,	
Petitioner, v.	CIVIL ACTION NO. 14-4994
	110. 14 4554
THE COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA, et al.,	
Respondents.	
ORLANDO EDNEY,	
Petitioner,	CIVIL ACTION
v.	CIVIL ACTION NO. 15-956
COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA, et al.,	
Respondents.	

ORDER

AND NOW, this 19th day of January 2016, upon careful and independent consideration of the Petitions for Writ of Habeas Corpus (Civil Action No. 14-4994, Doc. Nos. 4, 15; Civil Action No. 15-956, Doc. No. 4), and after review of the Report and Recommendation of United States Magistrate Judge Elizabeth T. Hey (Civil Action No. 14-4994, Doc. No. 30; Civil Action No. 15-956, Doc. No. 34), it is **ORDERED** as follows:

- The Report and Recommendation of United States Magistrate Judge Elizabeth T. Hey (Civil Action No. 14-4994, Doc. No. 30; Civil Action No. 15-956, Doc. No. 34) is APPROVED and ADOPTED;
- 2. The Petitions for a Writ of Habeas Corpus (Civil Action No. 14-4994, Doc. Nos. 4, 15; Civil Action No. 15-956, Doc. No. 4) are **DENIED**;

Case 2:15-cv-00956-JHS Document 36 Filed 01/19/16 Page 2 of 2

3. Petitioner's requests that the state charges be dismissed, for the appointment of counsel,

and for removal of the state prosecution to this forum are **DENIED**;

4. Petitioner's Motion for the Appointment of Counsel (Civil Action No. 14-4994, Doc. No.

29) is **DENIED**;

5. A Certificate of Appealability SHALL NOT issue because, based on the analysis

contained in the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation, as approved and

adopted by this Court, a reasonable jurist could not conclude that the Court is incorrect in

denying and dismissing the Habeas Petitions. See 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2); Slack v.

McDaniel, 529 U.S. 473 (2000); and

6. The Clerk of Court shall close these cases for statistical purposes.

BY THE COURT:

/s/ Joel H. Slomsky

JOEL H. SLOMSKY, J.